Acknowledgmentsxii
National Standards in World History for Grades 5–12xiii
Common Core State Standardsxiv
C3 Framework for Social Studies Standards xv
Introduction
General Tips for Effectively Running These Lessons
Evaluation 4
Research Suggestions 5
Competing Nineteenth-Century Isms
Lesson
Bibliography and Suggested Reading 12
Reproducibles
Background for Teachers and Students 15
Roles Chart
Roles
Meeting of the Minds Agenda
Glossary and Brief Chronology
Aftermath
Discussion Questions
Document A: The Revolutionary Catechism
Document B: "The White Man's Burden"
Document C: Speech to the Frankfurt Assembly 29
Document D: On Liberty
Document E: Description of Manchester
Document F: The Communist Manifesto 34
Extension Activities

Samples are provided for evaluation purposes. Copying of the product or its parts for resale is prohibited. Additional restrictions may be set by the publisher.

The Salon

L	esson	38
В	ibliography and Suggested Reading	41
R	eproducibles	
	Background for Teachers and Students	43
	Roles Chart	45
	Roles	46
	Glossary and Brief Chronology	48
	Aftermath	49
	Discussion Questions	50
	Document A: Oath of the Horatii	51
	Document B: The Raft of the Medusa	52
	Document C: The Stone Breakers	53
	Document D: Le Grand Canal	54
	Extension Activities	56
łag	gue Peace Conference	
L	esson	58
В	ibliography and Suggested Reading	62
R	eproducibles	
	Background for Teachers and Students	63
	Roles Chart	64
	Instructions	65
	Roles: Austria-Hungary	66
	Roles: France	68
	Roles: Germany	70
	Roles: Great Britain and Ireland	72
	Roles: Japan	74
	Roles: Russia	76
	Roles: Turkey	78
	Roles: United States	80
	Glossary and Brief Chronology	82

Aftermath	83
Discussion Questions	84
Document A: The Lieber Code	85
Document B: Laws of War	88
Extension Activities	93
War or Peace: 1914	
Lesson	96
Bibliography and Suggested Reading	100
Reproducibles	
Background for Teachers and Students	102
Messages to All Nations	104
Roles Chart	108
Roles	109
Nation Messages	112
Glossary and Brief Chronology	116
Aftermath	117
Discussion Questions	118
Document A: Note by the German Ambassador	119
Document B: Telegrams between London and Berlir	ı 122
Extension Activities	125
Women's Peace Congress	
Lesson	128
Bibliography and Suggested Reading	131
Reproducibles	
Background for Teachers and Students	132
Roles Chart	134
Instructions	135
Roles: Austria	137
Roles: Belgium	138
Roles: Denmark	139
Roles: Germany	140

	Roles: Great Britain and Ireland	41
	Roles: Hungary 14	42
	Roles: Italy	43
	Roles: Netherlands	14
	Roles: Norway 14	45
	Roles: Sweden12	16
	Roles: United States	47
	Glossary and Brief Chronology 14	18
	Aftermath	49
	Discussion Questions	50
	Document A: Resolutions Adopted	51
	Extension Activities	57
Kel	logg-Briand Pact	
L	esson	50
В	ibliography and Suggested Reading16	53
R	eproducibles	
	Background for Teachers and Students 16	
	Roles Chart 16	55
	Instructions	56
	Roles: Australia	57
	Roles: Belgium	58
	Roles: Canada	59
	Roles: Czechoslovakia	70
	Roles: France	71
	Roles: Germany	72
	Roles: Great Britain and India	73
	Roles: Irish Free State	74
	Roles: Italy	75
	Roles: Japan	76
	Roles: New Zealand	77
	Roles: Poland	78

Roles: United States	179
Glossary and Brief Chronology	180
Aftermath	181
Discussion Questions	182
Document A: The Kellogg-Briand Pact	183
Extension Activities	184
Appeasement: The British Parliament	
Lesson	186
Bibliography and Suggested Reading	190
Reproducibles	
Background for Teachers and Students	192
Roles Chart	193
Roles	194
Appeasement Worksheet	197
Glossary and Brief Chronology	198
Aftermath	199
Discussion Questions	200
Document A: Debating the Munich Agreement— Duff Cooper	201
Document B: Debating the Munich Agreement— Neville Chamberlain, October 3	203
Document C: Debating the Munich Agreement— Clement Attlee	205
Document D: Debating the Munich Agreement— Sir Samuel Hoare	207
Document E: Debating the Munich Agreement— Winston Churchill	209
Document F: Debating the Munich Agreement— Neville Chamberlain, October 5	211
Futoncian Activities	212

Yalta Conference

Lesson	216
Bibliography and Suggested Reading	220
Reproducibles	
Background for Teachers and Students	221
Roles Chart	222
Instructions	223
Roles: USSR	225
Roles: United States	227
Roles: Great Britain	229
Glossary and Brief Chronology	231
Aftermath	232
Discussion Questions	233
Document A: Ismay's Reflections	234
Document B: Roosevelt's Address	236
Extension Activities	240
Trial of Bruno Tesch	
Lesson	242
Bibliography and Suggested Reading	245
Reproducibles	
Background for Teachers and Students	247
Roles	249
The Trial of Bruno Tesch	250
Glossary and Brief Chronology	278
Aftermath	279
Discussion Questions	280
Document A: Statement of Hans Stark	281
Extension Activities	282

Helsinki Accords

L	Lesson	284
Е	Bibliography and Suggested Reading	288
F	Reproducibles	
	Background for Teachers and Students	290
	Roles Chart	292
	Instructions	294
	Glossary and Brief Chronology	295
	Aftermath	296
	Discussion Questions	297
	Document A: The Helsinki Accords	299
	Extension Activities	303
Bib	oliography	304

Lesson

Overview

Students research prominent proponents of significant *isms* that emerged in the nineteenth century, including imperialism, liberalism, socialism, nationalism, industrialism, and anarchism. They will present their findings at a Meeting of the Minds conference with the goal of convincing the collective group that their *ism* is the most significant.

Objectives

- Students will understand the basic concepts of liberalism, nationalism, socialism, imperialism, industrialism, and anarchism.
- Students will understand the role significant individuals played in the development of the various isms.

Notes for the Teacher/Duration

- Assign students to one of the six isms, and have those students sit together in the classroom.
- Give each group the brief overviews of individuals associated with its assigned ism, and tell the students that they will need to research both the ism and any or all of the individuals associated with this concept.
- You may either assign students to a particular individual or have them decide as a group which individuals to bring to the Meeting of the Minds.
- Explain that they will be preparing for a Meeting of the Minds conference, at which time they will be addressing the following questions:
 - What is the meaning of your particular ism?
 - Why did your individual become associated with this ism?
 - Were there any significant events associated with your ism? Explain.
 - Why is this ism the most significant of the nineteenth century?

Day One

- Read and discuss the "Background for Teachers and Students."
- Group students by an assigned ism, and give them the names and brief overviews of the individuals associated with their ism.
- Have students do further research on the assigned ism and the biographies of associated individuals.
- Have students decide which individuals they would like to bring to the Meeting of the Minds conference.

Teaching tip

Depending on the number of students in the class, you may either fill all of the individual roles or use two or three roles from each ism group. If the class size is larger than thirty-six, you can have students double up on selected individuals.

Teaching tip

You may allow students to use technology in their presentations at the Meeting of the Minds.



Lesson

Day Two

- Distribute the "Meeting of the Minds Agenda" for notetaking.
- Research and group planning

Days Three and Four

 Students attend the Meeting of the Minds conference. They have each of their selected individuals address the questions on the agenda.

Days Four and Five

- Have students vote on which ism was the most significant. They cannot vote for their own selected ism. If there is a tie, you may cast the deciding vote.
- Debrief using discussion questions and document analysis.

Day Six

- Debrief using the extension activities.
- Check the answers to the document questions.

Answers to Document Questions

Document A

1. What kind of revolution is Nechayev suggesting? Explain.

A complete destruction of the existing order

2. What does Nechayev mean by the "deeds"?

A violent act, like an assassination

Document B

1. Is this a racist poem by nineteenth-century standards? Explain.

Answers will vary, but most nineteenth-century people viewed this as not racist.

2. Is this a racist poem by twenty-first-century standards? Explain.

Answers will vary, but most twenty-first-century people would view this as very racist.

Document C

1. Why does the author suggest that only Prussia can truly form a united Germany?

Prussia can unify Germany because it is more interested in reform and the establishment of national interests.

Background for Teachers and Students



The first International Peace Conference in The Hague

The Lieber Code, signed into law by Abraham Lincoln in 1863 during the American Civil War, was a domestic regulation of the U.S. Army. When, in 1899, the Hague Convention sought to codify the rules of war, it drew heavily on Lieber's 157 articles, in which he had set out guidelines to ensure that civilians and prisoners of war would be protected despite the fighting around them. The code enumerated the rules of behavior in times of war, including the application of martial law and the treatment of noncombatants. prisoners of war, and hostages. It also addressed pillage, spying, truces, prisoner exchanges, and paroles.²⁹ This code served as a working paper for the delegates to an international peace conference that included the major European powers of Great Britain, France, and Germany.

The conference agenda included negotiations around the themes of avoiding conflicts, disarmament, the laws of war, and war crimes. It also suggested that nations not directly involved in a conflict help arbitrate a solution. During the conference, it was even recommended that an international court of justice be established to mediate disputes between nations. Most of the countries at the conference favored arbitration; there was disagreement, however, as to whether there should be binding arbitration.

Twenty-seven countries participated in the Hague Convention of 1899, including Great Britain, Russia, the United States, Germany, France, Italy, the Scandinavian countries, and Japan. In fact, it was Tsar Nicholas II of Russia who first proposed the conference and was instrumental in setting it up. All the nations at the conference were regarded as equals, with each having one vote in passing resolutions. The conference discussed the mediation as a means of settling a conflict between one or more nations. Delegates also passed resolutions regarding most of the items in the Lieber Code, including treatment of prisoners of war, banning the use of poison or poison gas, treatment of noncombatants, wanton bombardment of civilians, looting, and forced conscription. Additionally, country delegates saw the need to ban the use of explosives launched from balloons. (Use of airplanes was still in the future.) Most nations agreed it was necessary to prohibit the use of dumdum bullets, which expand when striking a person or object.

You will now have the opportunity to debate and frame resolutions on several of the most important issues facing the delegates at this conference.

		_

Roles Chart

Country	Head of State	Delegation Head	Assistant	Assistant	Assistant
Austria-Hungary	Franz Josef I	Count Rudolph von Welsersheimb	Alexander Okolicsányi von Okolicsna	Cajetan Mérey von Kapos-Mére	Victor von Khuepach zu Reid
France	Émile Loubet	Léon Bourgeois	Georges Bihourd	Paul-Henri- Benjamin d'Estournelles de Constant	Admiral Péphau
Germany	Wilhelm II	George Herbert, Count Münster- Ledensburg	Dr. Phillip Zorn	Captain Siegel	Baron Freherr von Stengel
Great Britain	Queen Victoria	Sir Julian Pauncefote	Sir Henry Howard	Sir John A. Fisher	Sir John Charles Ardagh
Japan	Emperor Meiji	Baron Tadasu Hayashi	Ichiro Motono	Captain Toshiatsu Sakamoto	Nagao Ariga
Russia	Nicholas II	Baron Egor Egorovich Staal	Fedor Fedorovich Martens	Alexander Basily	Colonel Gilinsky
Turkey	Abdulhamid II	Turkhan Pasha	Youssouf Bey	General Abdullah Pasha	R. Mehemed Pasha
United States	William McKinley	Andrew Dickson White	Seth Low	Stanford Newel	Captain Alfred Thayer Mahan

Additional Roles

Country	Head of State	Delegation Head	Assistant	Assistant
Belgium	Leopold II	Auguste Beernaert	Count de Grelle Rogier	Maurice Joostens
Bulgaria	Ferdinand I	Dr. Dimitri I. Stancioff	Major Christo Hessaptchieff	Colonel Roberto Trompowsky
Greece	George I	Nicholas P. Delyanni	Georges Streit	C. Sapountzakis
Italy	Umberto I	Count Constantino Nigra	Count Guido Pompilj	Louis Zuccari
Netherlands	Queen Wilhelmina	Abraham Pieter Cornelis van Karnebeek	General J. C. C. den Beer Poortugael	Willem Hendrik de Beaufort
Portugal	Carlos I	Count de Macedo	A. d'Ornellas de Vasconcellos	Captain Augusto de Castilho
Romania	Carol I	Alexander Beldiman	Jean N. Papiniu	Colonel Constantin Coanda
Serbia	Alexander I	Chedomille Miyatovitch	Colonel Alexander Maschine	Dr. Voïslave Veljkovitch
Spain	Alfonso XIII	Duke de Tetuán	Wenceslao Villa Urrutia	Arturo de Baguér
Switzerland	Robert Comtesse	Dr. Arnold Roth	Colonel Arnold Künzli	Edouard Odier

Your Character Role	 	

Discussion Questions

- 1. What provisions of these treaties do you think were unrealistic given the realities of warfare, both then and now?
- 2. Gandhi said that "our greatness lies not so much in being able to remake the world . . . as being able to remake ourselves." Do you think that the delegates to these conferences were idealistic dreamers, or did they actually believe that their decisions would create a world free of major conflicts? Did they think that nations who did end up in wars would actually abide by the rules they created?
- 3. Jean-Jacques Rousseau wrote in *The Social Contract* that during a war "individuals are enemies only accidentally, not as men, nor even as citizens, but as soldiers."³³ Do the decisions reached by the Hague Treaty buttress this statement? Explain.
- 4. Considering what you know about the evolution of warfare in the twenty-first century, to what extent do the rules of warfare agreed to by the nations in 1899, and later in 1907, still apply? What additions would you make? For example, should the use of napalm or barrel bombs be banned?
- 5. Most people consider territorial invasion by a nation a just cause for war. Are there any other causes that rise to that level of provocation? For example, currently, if a nation launched an Internet attack that severely damaged another country's economy, would that be a reasonable cause for war?
- 6. Can you think of any incidents during the wars of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries that violated the provisions of the 1899 and 1907 conferences?
- 7. There is a popular quote that "all is fair in love and war." Is that really true? Discuss.
- 8. Early in 1900, U.S. soldiers fighting in the Philippines were accused of using expanding bullets. In August 1914, Germany attacked France through Belgium without warning. In 1916, a German submarine off the coast of Ireland sank a British ocean liner suspected of carrying arms, also without warning and with great loss of civilian life. The British and American Air Forces firebombed the city of Dresden during World War II, also with great loss of civilian life. In 1944, fifty British airmen were executed for escaping from a prison camp. Were any or all of the above clear violations of the Hague Treaty? Why or why not?

³² Mahatma Gandhi, quoted in Attenborough, ed., The Words of Gandhi.

³³ Rousseau, The Social Contract, 11.